1	STEVEN G. KALAR	
2	Federal Public Defender	
3	GALIA AMRAM (CABN 250551) Assistant Federal Public Defender	
4	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36106	
5	San Francisco, CA 94102	
	Telephone: (415) 436-7700 Facsimile: (415) 436-7706	
6	Galia_Amram@fd.org	
7	Counsel for Defendant JUSTIN DOBBS	
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 17-CR-293 EMC
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS
15	v.	CONFERENCE
16	JUSTIN DOBBS,	
17	Defendant.	
18	I. STIPULATION	
19		
20	The parties in this case agree and jointly request the Court to move the status conference	
21	scheduled in the above captioned case from August 2, 2017 to September 13, 2017 at 2:30 p.m.	
22	The reason for this request is that defense counsel requires additional time to review discovery	
23	and to discuss it with the defendant, who resides out of state. In addition, the defendant's wife i	
24	currently hospitalized.	
25	The parties concur that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary	
26		
27	for effective preparation of counsel and continuit	y of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §
28		

## Case 3:17-cr-00293-EMC Document 8 Filed 07/28/17 Page 2 of 3

3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the end of justice served by granting such an 1 exclusion of time for the purposes of effective preparation of counsel outweigh the best interest 2 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 3 4 5 DATED: July 28, 2017 **AMIE ROONEY** 6 Assistant United States Attorney 7 8 DATED: July 28, 2017 **GALIA AMRAM** Attorney for Defendant Justin Dobbs 10 11 12 13 [PROPOSED] ORDER 14 For the reasons stated above the Court hereby CONTINUES the status hearing in the 15 aforementioned case from August 2, 2017 to September 13, 2017 at 2:30 p.m. The Court further 16 finds that the exclusion from the time limits of this period applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 is 17 warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of 18 19 the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Denying the requested exclusion of time would 20 deprive the defendant effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due 21 diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 IT IS <u>so</u> ordered 24 7/31/17 DATED: THE 25 Judge Edward M. Chen Unit 26 27 28

2

UNITED STATES V. DOBBS, 17CR293

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE